## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US00/15810	09 JUNE 2000 09 JUNE 1999			
International Patent Classification (IPC) IPC(7): H04N 7/10 and US Cl.: 725/5	or national classification and IPC	С		
Applicant DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS,	INC.			
This international preliming     Examining Authority and is	1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.			
2. This REPORT consists of a	total of <u>5</u> sheets.			
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority. (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).				
These annexes consist of a tot	al of sheets.			
3. This report contains indication	s relating to the following ite	ems:		
1 X Basis of the repor	<b>t</b>			
II Priority	II Priority			
III Non-establishmen	The second second to povolty inventive step or industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of	invention			
V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI X Certain documents	cited			
ت ت	he international application			
land.	s on the international applicat	ion		
VIII COMMANDE STATE OF THE STAT				
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of this report		
09 JANUARY 2001	OS	SEPTEMBER 2001		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/	Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Authorized officer			
Commissioner of Patents and Tradems	Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Roy PCT  JOHN W. MILLER			
Washington, D.C. 20231	Telephone No. (708) 905 4705			
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)\*

International application No.

PCT/US00/15810

I. Basis of the report					
1. With	regard to the ele	ments of the interna	tional application:*		
$\mathbf{x}$	•	al application as			.
	the description				
X	pages				, as originally filed
		NONE		<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	, filed with the demand
	pages			led with the letter of	
	pages		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
[x]	the claims:				
	pages	92-116			, as originally filed
	pages	NONE	, as	amended (together with any	statement) under Article 19
	pages	NONE			, filed with the demand
	pages	NONE	, filed with the	etter of	
X	the drawings:	1.42			
	pages	1-43			, as originally filed
	pages	NONE		*** ** ** ** ** **	, filed with the demand
	pages	NONE	, filed	with the letter of	
		sting part of the d	accrintion:		
X		sting part of the d NONE	escription.		as originally filed
	pages				, filed with the demand
	pages	NONE	, filed	with the letter of	
	pages		, ,		
the i	international applise elements were the language of the language of the language of the solution (55.3).	ication was filed, use available or furnish for a translation fur full publication of the translation furnish	nless otherwise indicated to this Authority in mished for the purpone international appli- thed for the purposes of	the following languageses of international search (cation (under Rule 48.3(b)). international preliminary examinational preliminational	under Rule 23.1(b)).
prel	iminary examin	ation was carried	out on the basis of	he sequence listing:	•
	contained in the international application in printed form.				
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.				
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the					
The statement that the subsequently numished written sequence fisting does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the writen sequence listing has been furnished.				
<sub>4</sub> x	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
4. [A]	r::1		NONE		
	the descri	iption, pages	NONE		
	X the claim	s, Nos	NONE		
	X the drawi	ngs, sheets <del>/fig</del> _	NONE		•
5. 🔲			some of) the amendme	ents had not been made, since	they have been considered to go
ليا	beyond the disc	closure as filed, as	indicated in the Suppl	emental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	•
in th	coment theets wh	ich have been furni	ished to the receiving (	Office in response to an invitation	on under Article 14 are referred to ontain amendments (Rules 70.16
**Any	replacement shee	et containing such	amendments must be	referred to under item 1 and	i annexed to this report.

International application No.
PCT/US00/15810

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

		,		
1.	statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-127	YES
	• • •	Claims	NONE	NO NO
	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	1-127	YES
	, ,	Claims	NONE	NO NO
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-127	YES
	Claime	NONE	NO	

#### 2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1-127 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest that set forth in independent claims 1 and 20, namely a method for targeting advertisements to subscribers in an electronic book distribution system in which an electronic book having advertising spot locations is provided with one or more advertisement spots for each of the locations in accordance with a spot retrieval plan. The prior art further fails to teach or fairly suggest that set forth in independent claim 30, namely a method for targeting advertisements to subscribers in an electronic book distribution system in which a package of targeted advertisements, a group assignment matrix, and a retrieval plan are provided to book terminals and stored in memory, one or more electronic books with spot locations are provided to the terminals, and a comparison is made with the group assignment matrix and the retrieval plan to determine advertisements to display in particular spot locations. The prior art further fails to teach or fairly suggest that set forth in independent claim 35, namely a method for assigning targeted advertisements to multiple spot locations in an electronic book delivery system in which targeted advertisements are assigned to successive spot locations based on rankings dictated by the groups of viewers associated with target categories of targeted advertisements. The prior art further fails to teach or fairly suggest that set forth in independent claim 37, namely a method for targeting advertising to at least one subscriber in an electronic book order and distribution system in which data associated with the electronic books which have been read is analyzed and correlated to advertisement categories for the purpose of transmitting advertisements to subscribers. The prior art further fails to teach or fairly suggest that set forth in independent claim 54, namely a method for targeting advertisements to a plurality of electronic books in which a primary and an alternate advertisement are assigned to a book from a package of advertisements, and in which each viewer is assigned to a group to create a group assignment matrix such that a retrieval plan is generated instructing viewers to select an advertisement from memory for display based on the group (Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)

International application No.

PCT/US00/15810

VI.	Certain	documents	cited

L	Certain	published	documents	(Rule	70.10)
---	---------	-----------	-----------	-------	--------

Application No. Patent No.	Publication Date (day/month/year)	Filing Date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
US, A, 5,957,695	28 SEPTEMBER 1999	15 FEBRUARY 1996	NONE
US, A, 5,986,690	16 NOVEMBER 1999	07 NOVEMBER 1994	NONE
US, A, 6,034,680	07 MARCH 2000	30 APRIL 1997	NONE
US, A, 6,052,717	18 APRIL 2000	23 OCTOBER 1996	NONE

0	Non-written	disclosures	(Rule 70.9)
z.	Non-written	II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	(111116 10.9)

Kind of non-written disclosure	Date of non-written disclosure
	(day/month/year)

Date of written disclosure referring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)

International application No.

PCT/US00/15810

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes 1 - VIII

Sheet 10

V. 2. REASONED STATEMENTS - CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS (Continued): assignment. The prior art further fails to teach or fairly suggest that set forth in independent claim 58, namely a system that targets advertisements to electronic books comprising an electronic book database, an advertisement database, a retrieval plan generator, a group assignment engine, and memories within the respective terminals from which retrieval plans are retrieved for dictating the display of advertisements. The prior art further fails to teach or fairly suggest that set forth in independent claim 62, namely a targeted advertisement management system comprising a server that receives requests for electronic books and provides the books for delivery to terminals, a subscriber collection engine that obtains subscriber data and generates profiles, a subscriber information database for storing the profiles, a configuration set up system to group terminals according to profiles, and an advertisement generation system and a retrieval plan generator for generating and retrieving a plan for the display of particular advertisements. The prior art further fails to teach or fairly suggest that set forth in independent claims 82 and 121, namely a method for targeting advertisements in an electronic book by identifying electronic book subscribers, defining advertising spots in the book, and assigning advertisements to the spots based on the identity of the subscriber. The prior art further fails to teach or fairly suggest that set forth in independent claim 123, namely a method for assigning targeted advertisements to multiple spot locations in an electronic book delivery menu system in which plural spots are identified, targeted advertisements are assigned to categories which are divided into groups of users, spot locations are ranked based on target categories and/or a percentage of total viewers in each group, and advertisements are assigned to the locations. The

subscriber. As for the prior art, the Hendricks et al (5,600,573) reference does not make reference to an electronic book distribution other than to make reference to a later published related application, and fails to teach or suggest advertising within the electronic book distribution system environment.

prior art further fails to teach or fairly suggest that set forth in independent claim 127, namely an apparatus that targets advertisements to subscribers in an electronic book distribution system first and second databases for books and book menu data, respectively, and a processor that determines advertisement spots and assigns advertisements based on the identity of the

Further, the Fernandez (4,855,725) reference discloses electronic books, however within the personal computer as opposed to the distribution system environment. The reference also fails to teach or suggest advertisements within electronic books, whether targeted or otherwise.

--- NEW CITATIONS ----US 4,855,725 A (FERNANDEZ) 08 August 1999, see whole document. US 5,600,573 A (HENDRICKS et al) 04 February 1997, see whole document.